St	ate: Washington	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2007						
	Overall Report X Two-parent Report (check one)	Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate? X no						
	PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005 (Complete this section for EACH change)							
1.	I. Name of eligibility change: NO ELIGIBILITY CHANGES WERE MADE IN FY 2006							
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:							
3.	B. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:							
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate (attach supporting materials to this form):	the estimated impact of this eligibility change						
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility	ty change on caseload in comparison year:						
								

State: Washington Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2007

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

Pro-Rata Reduction for Excess MOE – Summary

Taking into account the pro rata reduction in the FY2005 caseload due to excess MOE spending, the average monthly TANF all-family caseload declined by 19.5 percent between FY 2005 and FY 2006. This caseload reduction number includes child-only cases, as instructed in ACF guidance.

Washington TANF Two-Parent Caseload Data for FY 2005 and FY 2006	
FY 2005 monthly average caseload	56,823
FY 2006 monthly average caseload	54,168
FY 2006 monthly average caseload, adjusted for excess MOE spending	45,722
Caseload decline, FY2005 to FY 2006 (Note: Washington did not make any eligibility changes in FY 2006)	11,101
Sources: TANF Data Reports	

Pro-Rata Reduction for Excess MOE – Process Defined

- 1. The State met its all-family work participation rate requirement in FY 2006 so the relevant spending floor is 75 percent of the basic MOE amount.
- The pro rata reduction takes into account the use of federal TANF funds. The pro rata reduction is calculated as the State excess MOE divided by the average cost per case, where cost is the sum of State and federal TANF funds.
- 3. The end result is a pro rata reduction of 9,218 MOE-funded cases based upon the all-family caseload.
- 4. The excess MOE-funded cases are then distributed between the all-family caseload and the two-parent caseload based upon the ratio of the two-parent caseload to the all-family caseload (8.4%). This results in 772 cases attributed to the two-parent family caseload and 8,446 cases attributed to the all-family caseload.
- 5. This number (8,446) is subtracted above from the actual FY 2005 monthly average caseload (54,168) to yield the adjusted FY2005 caseload of 45,722.

The following table shows how the pro rata reduction for excess MOE was calculated and attributed to the all-family caseload and the two-parent caseload.

FFY 06 Calculation	TANF All-Family Caseload % of TANF Pro-Rata			
Calculation 1	MOE Spending	Spending	Share	
75% MOE Level	\$257,609,033	40.67%	22,031	•
Spending above Required 75%	\$107,792,853	17.02%	9,218	MOE-Funded Cases
Total MOE Spending	\$365,401,886	57.69%	31,249	
TANF Funds Spent (Adjusted SFAG)	\$267,997,640			
Total TANF Spending	\$633,399,526			
	Cases Receivir	ng Assistance	54,168	
		Ŭ		
		Avg. MOE		
			Cases	
Calculation 2	MOE Spending	Per Case	Served	•
75% MOE Level	\$257,609,033.00	\$11,693.24	22,031	
Spending above Required 75%	\$107,792,853.00	\$11,693.24	9,218	MOE-Funded Cases
Total MOE Spending	\$365,401,886.00	\$11,693.24	31,249	
TANF Funds Spent (Adjusted SFAG)	\$267,997,640.00			
Total TANF Spending	\$633,399,526.00			
Cases Receiving Assistance	54,168			
Average Spending per Case	\$11,693.24			
All-Family Cases Receiving Assistance			54,168	
Two-Parent Cases Receiving Assistance				
Two-Parent Ratio to All-Family Caseload				
	9,218			
Pro-Rata Attribution	772			
Pro-Rata Att	8,446			

State: Washington Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2007

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

(signature)			
,			
Deb Marley			
· ·			
(name)			
Assistant Secretary, Economic Services Administration			
(title)			